

(12) **United States Patent**
Amini et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,451,626 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Sep. 20, 2016**

(54) **WIRELESS SENSOR BASE STATION WITH COEXISTENCE OF MULTIPLE HOMOGENEOUS RADIOS**

(2013.01); *H04L 49/9005* (2013.01); *H04W 24/02* (2013.01); *H04W 52/386* (2013.01); *H04W 72/1215* (2013.01); *H04W 72/1247* (2013.01);

(71) Applicant: **Netgear, Inc.**, San Jose, CA (US)

(Continued)

(72) Inventors: **Peiman Amini**, Mountain View, CA (US); **Arms Yongyuth**, Cupertino, CA (US); **Steve Skeoch**, San Jose, CA (US); **Joseph Amalan Arul Emmanuel**, Cupertino, CA (US)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC H04B 1/44
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

8,472,403 B2 * 6/2013 Kobori 370/331
8,781,519 B2 7/2014 Majjigi et al.

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN 102450050 A 5/2012

Primary Examiner — David Bilodeau

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Perkins Coie LLP

(73) Assignee: **NETGEAR, INC.**, San Jose, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/878,986**

(22) Filed: **Oct. 8, 2015**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2016/0037360 A1 Feb. 4, 2016

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 14/089,651, filed on Nov. 25, 2013, now Pat. No. 9,232,566.

(60) Provisional application No. 61/835,488, filed on Jun. 14, 2013, provisional application No. 61/836,571, filed on Jun. 18, 2013, provisional application No. 61/870,762, filed on Aug. 27, 2013.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

H04B 1/44 (2006.01)

H04W 72/08 (2009.01)

H04W 88/10 (2009.01)

(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**


CPC *H04W 72/082* (2013.01); *H04B 1/44*

(57)

ABSTRACT

Techniques are disclosed for reducing interference, in a network device, among multiple radio circuits operating in a same or similar frequency band and in close physical proximity. In some embodiments, a network device includes a first and a second wireless network circuit. The network circuits operate in a same radio frequency band and are collocated. The second network circuit is assigned a higher priority than the first network circuit. The device further includes a coexistence controller coupled to the network circuits via a communication bus and configured to selectively suppress transmitting operations of the first network circuit during receiving operations of the second network circuit. Among other benefits, the embodiments can increase wireless network bandwidth and reduce mobile device power consumption by providing coordination among the radio circuits so that the transmitting and receiving operations are performed in a way that they do not interfere with their respective antennas.

42 Claims, 24 Drawing Sheets



-
- (51) **Int. Cl.**
- | | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------|--------------------|
| <i>H04W 72/12</i> | (2009.01) | 2008/0279163 A1 | 11/2008 | Desai et al. |
| <i>H04L 12/861</i> | (2013.01) | 2009/0257379 A1 | 10/2009 | Robinson et al. |
| <i>H04W 24/02</i> | (2009.01) | 2010/0056136 A1 | 3/2010 | Zhu et al. |
| <i>H04W 52/38</i> | (2009.01) | 2011/0312288 A1 | 12/2011 | Fu et al. |
| <i>H04B 1/525</i> | (2015.01) | 2012/0120944 A1 | 5/2012 | Yang et al. |
| <i>H04W 84/12</i> | (2009.01) | 2012/0170557 A1 | 7/2012 | Tsfati et al. |
| | | 2012/0182976 A1 | 7/2012 | Thoukydides et al. |
| | | 2012/0257519 A1 | 10/2012 | Frank et al. |
| | | 2012/0263086 A1 | 10/2012 | Liu et al. |
| | | 2013/0077610 A1 | 3/2013 | Amini et al. |
| | | 2013/0090142 A1 | 4/2013 | Lee et al. |
| | | 2013/0194994 A1 | 8/2013 | Dayal et al. |
| | | 2013/0324049 A1 | 12/2013 | Mujtaba et al. |
| | | 2013/0324112 A1 | 12/2013 | Jechoux et al. |
| | | 2014/0187287 A1 | 7/2014 | Medapalli et al. |
| | | 2014/0233444 A1 | 8/2014 | Wang et al. |
| | | 2014/0341100 A1 | 11/2014 | Sun et al. |
| | | 2014/0369271 A1 | 12/2014 | Amini et al. |
| | | 2014/0369272 A1 | 12/2014 | Amini et al. |
| | | 2014/0369273 A1 | 12/2014 | Amini et al. |
| | | 2014/0370826 A1 | 12/2014 | Amini et al. |
| | | 2015/0092673 A1 | 4/2015 | Singh et al. |
- (52) **U.S. Cl.**
- CPC *H04W 88/10* (2013.01); *H04B 1/525*
(2013.01); *H04W 84/12* (2013.01); *Y02B 60/50*
(2013.01)
- (56) **References Cited**
- U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS
- | | | |
|-----------------|--------|------------------|
| 8,825,680 B2 | 9/2014 | Botten et al. |
| 8,825,860 B2 | 9/2014 | Linsky et al. |
| 8,838,046 B2 | 9/2014 | Liu et al. |
| 9,026,162 B2 | 5/2015 | Waghlikar et al. |
| 2008/0130603 A1 | 6/2008 | Wentink et al. |
- * cited by examiner




FIG. 1





FIG. 2A

202

Radio_X	Radio_Y	Performance
TX	TX	OK
RX	RX	OK
TX	RX	Radio_Y RX experiences interference (e.g., desensitization)
RX	TX	Radio_X RX experiences interference (e.g., desensitization)

FIG. 2B


204



Channel	Lower Frequency	Center Frequency	Upper Frequency
1	2.401	2.412	2.423
2	2.406	2.417	2.428
3	2.411	2.422	2.433
4	2.416	2.427	2.438
5	2.421	2.432	2.443
6	2.426	2.437	2.448
7	2.431	2.442	2.453
8	2.436	2.447	2.458
9	2.441	2.452	2.463
10	2.451	2.457	2.468
11	2.451	2.462	2.473

FIG. 2C

206



CHANNEL NUMBER	FREQUENCY MHZ	NORTH AMERICA (FCC)
36	5180	✓
40	5200	✓
44	5220	✓
48	5240	✓
52	5260	DFS
56	5280	DFS
60	5300	DFS
64	5320	DFS
100	5500	DFS
104	5520	DFS
108	5540	DFS
112	5560	DFS
116	5580	DFS
120	5600	No Access
124	5620	No Access
128	5640	No Access
132	5660	DFS
136	5680	DFS
140	5700	DFS
149	5745	✓
153	5765	✓
157	5785	✓
161	5805	✓
165	5825	✓

FIG. 2D




FIG. 3




FIG. 4A




FIG. 4B




FIG. 5A




FIG. 5B




FIG. 5C




FIG. 5D




FIG. 6




FIG. 7A





FIG. 7B






FIG. 7D




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13




FIG. 14




FIG. 15

WIRELESS SENSOR BASE STATION WITH COEXISTENCE OF MULTIPLE HOMOGENEOUS RADIOS

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED
APPLICATIONS AND EFFECTIVE FILING
DATE ENTITLEMENT

This application is a continuation (CON) application of U.S. Utility patent application Ser. No. 14/089,651, entitled "WIRELESS SENSOR BASE STATION WITH COEXISTENCE OF MULTIPLE HOMOGENEOUS RADIOS", filed Nov. 25, 2013, which claims the benefit of and the right of priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/835,488, entitled "WLAN SENSOR GATEWAY WITH COEXISTENCE SOLUTION", filed Jun. 14, 2013; to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/836,571, entitled "COEXISTENCE AND TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT FOR USING MULTIPLE WLAN RADIOS IN A SYSTEM", filed Jun. 18, 2013; and to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/870,762, entitled "COEXISTENCE AND TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT WITH ALIGNMENT OF PACKETS AND CHANNEL STEERING", filed Aug. 27, 2013; all of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entireties.

This application is related to co-pending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/089,671, entitled "METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR IMPLEMENTING COEXISTENCE OF MULTIPLE HOMOGENEOUS RADIOS AND TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT THEREIN", filed Nov. 25, 2013; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/089,674, entitled "ALIGNMENT OF PACKETS FOR IMPLEMENTING COEXISTENCE OF MULTIPLE HOMOGENEOUS RADIOS", filed Nov. 25, 2013; and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/089,680, entitled "CHANNEL STEERING FOR IMPLEMENTING COEXISTENCE OF MULTIPLE HOMOGENEOUS RADIOS"; all of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entireties.

TECHNICAL FIELD

The present disclosure relates generally to electronic communications, and more specifically, to controlling multiple radio circuits in wireless computer network systems.

BACKGROUND

With the emerging technologies of wireless networks, embedded systems, and the Internet, there is an ever increasing demand for larger network bandwidth and higher network speed from all kinds of electronic devices employed in various settings, from computing and managing data to online shopping and social networking. This is particularly relevant with electronic and digital content having become extensively used in shared, networked environments as compared to traditional stand-alone personal computers and mobile devices. As a result, data traffic, and especially wireless data traffic, has experience an enormous growth.

In the meantime, more and more wireless technologies used in these electronic devices occupy the same or similar radio frequency bands (e.g., 2.4 GHz, 3.6 GHz, 5 GHz, or 60 GHz), which can create interference with one another, adversely affecting the network transmission as well as reception of the wireless network circuits onboard the electronic devices. Also, many of these electronic devices are mobile or portable devices which rely on limited power resources to operate, and typically transmitting or receiving

data traffic in a noisy environment can have a negative impact on power consumption.

Accordingly, it is desirable to provide methods and apparatuses that increase wireless network bandwidth, reduce wireless network interference, and reduce mobile device power consumption.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The present embodiments are illustrated by way of example and are not intended to be limited by the figures of the accompanying drawings. In the drawings:

FIG. 1 is a representative computer network environment within which some embodiments may be implemented;

FIG. 2A is an abstract functional block diagram illustrating a wireless base station equipped with a coexistence controller in accordance with some embodiments;

FIG. 2B is a table illustrating example situations where the coexistence controller can provide improvements;

FIG. 2C is a table illustrating the upper, center, and lower frequencies of different Wireless LAN (WLAN) channels in a typical 2.4 GHz frequency band;

FIG. 2D is a table illustrating example frequencies of different Wireless LAN (WLAN) channels available (e.g., in the United States) in a typical 5 GHz frequency band;

FIG. 3 is a functional block diagram illustrating certain implementation details of a specific example of the coexistence controller of FIG. 2A in accordance with some embodiments;

FIG. 4A and FIG. 4B are abstract diagrams using layered model for illustrating an example hierarchical relationships between the coexistence controller and other components in the base station of FIG. 2A;

FIG. 5A is a timing diagram illustrating an example of synchronized operations of multiple radio circuits as coordinated by the coexistence controller in accordance with some embodiments;

FIGS. 5B-5D further illustrate additional details of the synchronized operations of FIG. 5A in accordance with some embodiments;

FIG. 6 is a timing diagram illustrating an example of unsynchronized operations of multiple radio circuits as coordinated by the coexistence controller in accordance with some embodiments;

FIG. 7A is a functional diagram illustrating an additional mode in which the present embodiments can operate, in accordance with some embodiments;

FIGS. 7B-7D are functional diagrams illustrating some specific example scenarios in which the WLAN access point and WLAN station of FIG. 7A may be operated;

FIG. 8 is a diagram illustrating a probe request procedure on a preferred channel which can be implemented by the coexistence controller in accordance with some embodiments;

FIG. 9 is a diagram illustrating a probe request procedure on a non-preferred channel which can be implemented by the coexistence controller in accordance with some embodiments;

FIG. 10 is an abstract functional block diagram illustrating a wireless base station equipped with a coexistence controller implemented in an environment with a plurality of wireless sensors in accordance with some embodiments;

FIG. 11 is a timing diagram for handling downlink traffic from the base station to the wireless sensors of FIG. 10 in accordance with some embodiments;

3

FIG. 12 is a timing diagram for handling uplink traffic from the wireless sensors to the base station of FIG. 10 in accordance with some embodiments;

FIG. 13 is an abstract diagram illustrating an asymmetrical buffering structure or mechanism which can be adopted or controlled by the coexistence controller in accordance with some embodiments;

FIG. 14 is a flowchart illustrating a method for controlling and coordinating multiple radio circuits which can be implemented by the coexistence controller in accordance with some embodiments; and

FIG. 15 is a flowchart illustrating a method for reducing interference among multiple radio circuits which can be implemented by the coexistence controller in accordance with some embodiments.

Like reference numerals refer to corresponding parts throughout the figures and specification.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Techniques are disclosed for reducing interference, in a network device, among multiple radio circuits operating in a same or similar frequency band and in close physical proximity. In some embodiments, a network device includes a first and a second wireless network circuit. The network circuits operate in a same radio frequency band and are collocated. The second network circuit is assigned a higher or equal priority than the first network circuit. The device further includes a coexistence controller coupled to the network circuits via a communication bus and configured to selectively suppress transmitting operations of the first network circuit during receiving operations of the second network circuit.

Among other benefits, the embodiments disclosed herein can increase wireless network bandwidth and reduce mobile device power consumption by providing coordination among the radio circuits so that the transmitting and receiving operations are performed in a way that they do not interfere with their respective antennas.

In the following description, numerous specific details are set forth such as examples of specific components, circuits, and processes to provide a thorough understanding of the present disclosure. Also, in the following description and for purposes of explanation, specific nomenclature is set forth to provide a thorough understanding of the present embodiments. However, it will be apparent to one skilled in the art that these specific details may not be required to practice the present embodiments. In other instances, well-known circuits and devices are shown in block diagram form to avoid obscuring the present disclosure.

The term “coupled” as used herein means connected directly to or connected through one or more intervening components or circuits. Any of the signals provided over various buses described herein may be time-multiplexed with other signals and provided over one or more common buses. Additionally, the interconnection between circuit elements or software blocks may be shown as buses or as single signal lines. Each of the buses may alternatively be a single signal line, and each of the single signal lines may alternatively be buses, and a single line or bus might represent any one or more of a myriad of physical or logical mechanisms for communication (e.g., a network) between components. The present embodiments are not to be construed as limited to specific examples described herein but rather to include within their scope all embodiments defined by the appended claims.

4

For purposes of discussion herein, “heterogeneous radios” means a plurality of radios or wireless network circuits of different network technologies; for example, IEEE 802.11 Wireless LAN (e.g., WiFi), Bluetooth, 2G, 3G, Long Term Evolution (LTE), and Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) are all different network technologies than one another. Conversely, “homogeneous radios” means a plurality of radios or wireless network circuits of the same network technologies; for example, a plurality of Wireless LAN (WLAN) circuits, although one may operate on channel 1 of 2.4 GHz frequency band and using IEEE 802.11n protocol while another may operate on channel 6 of 2.4 GHz frequency band and using IEEE 802.11g protocol, are of the same family of WLAN technology and therefore are homogeneous radios. Some examples of the commonly-seen radios on the 2.4 GHz frequency band may include IEEE 802.11b, IEEE 802.11g, or IEEE 802.11n.

System Overview

FIG. 1 is a representative computer network environment 100 within which some embodiments may be implemented. The environment 100 includes a base station 110, a network 120, and a plurality of client devices 130a-130n.

The base station 110, which is illustrated as operating in “access point (AP)” mode, is coupled together with the network 120 so that the base station 110 can enable client devices 130 to exchange data to and from the network 120. For example, the base station 110 and the network 120 may be connected via a twisted pair cabling network, a coax cable network, a telephone network, or any suitable type of connection network. In some embodiments, the base station 110 and the network 120 may be connected wirelessly (e.g., which may include employing an IEEE 802.11 wireless network, or a data traffic network based on wireless telephony services such as 3G, 3.5G, 4G LTE and the like). The technologies supporting the communications between the base station 110 and the network 120 may include Ethernet (e.g., as described in IEEE 802.3 family of standards) and/or other suitable types of area network technologies. Examples of different wireless protocols in the IEEE 802.11 family of standards can include IEEE 802.11a, IEEE 802.11b, IEEE 802.11n, IEEE 802.11ac, IEEE 802.11af, IEEE 802.11ah, and IEEE 802.11ad.

Although not shown for simplicity, the base station 110 may include one or more processors, which may be general-purpose processors or may be application-specific integrated circuitry that provides arithmetic and control functions to implement the techniques disclosed herein on the base station 110. The processor(s) may include a cache memory (not shown for simplicity) as well as other memories (e.g., a main memory, and/or non-volatile memory such as a hard-disk drive or solid-state drive. In some examples, cache memory is implemented using SRAM, main memory is implemented using DRAM, and non-volatile memory is implemented using Flash memory or one or more magnetic disk drives. According to some embodiments, the memories may include one or more memory chips or modules, and the processor(s) on the base station 110 may execute a plurality of instructions or program codes that are stored in its memory.

The client devices 130 can connect to and communicate with the base station 110 wirelessly including, for example, using the IEEE 802.11 family of standards (e.g., Wireless LAN), and can include any suitable intervening wireless network devices including, for example, base stations, routers, gateways, hubs, or the like. Depending on the embodiments, the network technology connecting between the client devices 130 and the base station 110 can include other

suitable wireless standards such as the well-known Bluetooth communication protocols or near field communication (NFC) protocols. In some embodiments, the network technology between the devices **130** and station **110** can include a customized version of WLAN, Bluetooth, or customized versions of other suitable wireless technologies. Client devices **130** can be any suitable computing or mobile devices including, for example, smartphones, tablet computers, laptops, personal digital assistants (PDAs), or the like. Client devices **110** typically include a display, and may include suitable input devices (not shown for simplicity) such as a keyboard, a mouse, or a touchpad. In some embodiments, the display may be a touch-sensitive screen that includes input functionalities. Additional examples of the devices **130** can include network-connected cameras (or “IP cameras”), home sensors, and other home appliances (e.g., a “smart refrigerator” that can connect to the Internet).

It is noted that one of ordinary skill in the art will understand that the components of FIG. 1 are just one implementation of the computer network environment within which present embodiments may be implemented, and the various alternative embodiments are within the scope of the present embodiments. For example, the environment **100** may further include intervening devices (e.g., switches, routers, hubs, etc.) among the base station **110**, the network **120**, and the client devices **130**. In some examples, the network **120** comprises the Internet.

The Coexistence Mechanism

FIG. 2A is an abstract functional block diagram illustrating a wireless base station **210** equipped with a coexistence controller **230** in accordance with some embodiments. Base station **210** is an example of the base station **110** of FIG. 1. As shown in FIG. 2A, the wireless base station **210** includes a plurality of wireless network circuits **220a-220c** and a coexistence controller **230**. In accordance with one or more embodiments, the coexistence controller **230** is coupled to each of the network circuits **220a-220c** through a coexistence bus **240**.

As previously mentioned, many wireless network technologies used in electronic devices occupy the same or similar frequency band. An example of this frequency band is the well-known industrial, scientific and medical (ISM) radio bands. Take one of the most commonly used of ISM bands, the 2.4 GHz band, for example, the technologies that use this frequency band for various kinds of purposes can include Wireless LAN and Bluetooth. Several other commonly seen wireless communication technologies also operate at similar frequency bands (e.g., ranging from 2.3 GHz to 2.7 GHz); they include LTE Band 40 (TDD-LTE), LTE UL Band 7 (FDD-LTE), LTE Band 38 (TDD-LTE), and LTE DL Band 7 (FDD-LTE), just to name a few.

For purposes of discussion herein, assume wireless network circuits **220a-220c** are Wireless LAN circuits operating at a main frequency band of 2.4 GHz.

FIG. 2C is a table **204** illustrating the upper, center, and lower frequencies of different Wireless LAN channels in a typical 2.4 GHz frequency band. FIG. 2D is a table **206** illustrating example frequencies of different Wireless LAN (WLAN) channels available (e.g., in the United States) in a typical 5 GHz frequency band. As illustrated in FIG. 2C, in the United States and Canada, there are 11 channels available for use in the 2.4 GHz Wireless LAN frequency band as defined by IEEE 802.11 family of standards. In particular, 3 non-overlapping channels (e.g., channels 1, 6, and 11) can be selected out of the 11 channels in the IEEE 802.11 standards (e.g., IEEE 802.11b) for Wireless LAN access points that are located near each other. It is typically

recommended that a person ordinary skill in the art should use one of the above non-overlapping channels for each wireless network circuits that operate close by in order to minimize or reduce the adverse effects of interference.

However, the present embodiments recognize that typically a 50 dB isolation may be necessary to completely or effectively avoid in-device coexistence interference when the operating frequencies for different wireless network circuits are only separated by less than 20 MHz. This is especially the case with mobile handset applications where the devices are of small form factor; devices in such application generally only provide 10-30 dB isolation between different wireless network circuits. As such, in reality even with transceiving on non-overlapping channels and, in some instances, employing spectral masks (e.g., a transmit spectral mask for 20 MHz transmission in the 2.4 GHz band as defined by the IEEE), noise as well as other factors can still cause coexisting wireless network circuits to interfere with each other, and especially on small form factor devices such as a mobile phone or a wireless base station.

For one example, it is observed in the present disclosure that, at least in the LTE 2.4G ISM band, the lower portion of ISM band is very close to the LTE TDD Band 40. Therefore, in the case where a single mobile device with LTE, WLAN and Bluetooth coexistence, the LTE transmitter may cause interference to WLAN and/or BT receiver; and similarly, the BT/WLAN transmitter may cause interference to the LTE receiver. For another example, in devices where LTE telephony and Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) receiver circuits coexist, the uplink transmissions of LTE Band 13 (e.g., 777-787 MHz) and Band 14 (e.g., 788-798 MHz) can disrupt the working of GNSS receiver using L1 frequency (e.g., 1575.42 MHz). One reason causing this is that the second harmonic of Band 13 (e.g., 1554-1574 MHz) and second harmonic of Band 14 (e.g., 1576-1596 MHz) are close to L1 frequency.

Furthermore, the present embodiments recognize that there are several situations which can cause interference when two or more radio circuits concurrently operate in same or similar frequency band in close physical proximity. FIG. 2B is a table **202** illustrating example situations where the coexistence controller can provide improvements. As shown in table **202**, when one radio is transmitting, the reception performance of the other radio(s) is desensitized. For purpose of discussion herein, radio circuits located in close physical proximity, or “collocated,” means that the radio circuits are located close to each other enough that a transmission operation on one circuit can adversely affect the reception operation on another circuit; for some typical examples, two radio circuits that are located on the same physical device (e.g., a base station), or on the same printed circuit board (PCB), are located in close physical proximity.

It is noted that FIG. 2B is a general representation of the interfering phenomenon which may be caused by concurrent operation of the collocated radios; in some embodiments, appropriate filtering can also be applied by a person having ordinary skill in the art so that sensitivity loss caused by different collocated radios transmitting (TX) and receiving (RX) at or near the same time is reduced. In particular, depending on the frequency band of the channels being used and the type of filtering, the actual severity of the sensitivity loss depicted in FIG. 2B may vary.

Accordingly, the present embodiments provide an effective mechanism to coordinate the scheduling of transmission and reception operations of the network circuits **220** in order to mitigate in-device interference caused by coexistence of wireless network circuits operating at the same or similar

frequency band. In accordance with some embodiments, when two or more wireless radios may be used in the same band (e.g. 2.4 GHz band, or 5 GHz band) on the same device, the device can employ a coexistence mechanisms (hardware (HW) and/or software (SW)) so that the radios can operate in same band without desensitizing each other's receiving operations. The HW mechanism for coexistence can include digital hardware bus in some embodiments, and can include radio frequency (RF) circuits in other embodiments; in some other embodiments, and the HW mechanism can employ a combination of digital and RF mechanisms. Further, depending on the embodiment, digital HW mechanism may include a direct hardware line connecting accessing mechanism of two chipsets, or it may be hardware lines connecting the accessing mechanism of two hardware blocks inside a chipset. The RF mechanism may include RF filtering, RF switching, or other suitable RF filters.

More specifically, in one or more embodiments, each of the network circuits **220a-220c** can be assigned a priority, and the coexistence controller **230** is coupled to the network circuits **220a-220c** through the coexistence bus **240** to control operations between (or among) the network circuits **220a-220c**. It is noted that, in some embodiments, it is possible for one or more of the network circuits **220a-220c** to be assigned with the same priority.

The coexistence controller **230** can selectively adjust one or more transmission operating parameters of a respective network circuit (e.g., circuit **220a**) based on a plurality of operating criteria including network circuit **220a**'s priority as compared to other circuits' priorities. The priority each network circuit (e.g., circuit **220a**) can be predetermined (e.g., by the manufacturer of the base station **210**) or can be dynamically assigned (e.g., by the coexistence controller **230**) based on certain priority assigning criteria. The priority assigning criteria may include the amount of traffic, the type of traffic (e.g., data, voice, video, sensor applications, etc.), wireless channel conditions each circuit experiences, and/or other suitable factors.

The operating criteria can reflect a variety of considerations such as the number of client devices (e.g., devices **130**, FIG. 1) each network circuit handles, the amount of data traffic each network circuit sees, the data rate each network circuit supports, the type of traffic each network circuit is assigned, the wireless channel condition or noise (e.g., as measured by RSSI or a known matrix rank) each network circuit experiences, and so forth. According to the present embodiments, the operating criteria are selected to cause the coexistence controller to control the operations in a way that reduces a probability that network circuits **220a-220c** desensitize one another. In some embodiments, the operating criteria are selected in a way such that the coexistence controller **230** can perform, for example, load balancing and/or frequency planning using multiple wireless network circuits (e.g., circuits **220a-220c**) on base station **210**.

It is noted that, in the embodiments where the priorities of the wireless network circuits are dynamically assigned, the priority assigning criteria may be determined in the same way as or in a similar way to the determination of operating criteria by the coexistence controller **230**.

The transmission operating parameters for a wireless network circuit is the configurations in which the network circuit uses to transmit data. For example, in some embodiments, the coexistence controller **230** can reduce the transmit power on one wireless network circuit (e.g., circuit **220a**) when another wireless network circuit (e.g., circuit **220b**) is receiving. As previously mentioned, the coexistence

controller **230** selectively adjusts the transmission operating parameters of the circuit **220a**, for example, because the circuit **220b** has a higher priority. In another example, circuit **220a**'s operating parameters receive adjustment from the controller **230** because operating criteria as determined by the controller **230** indicates that the circuit **220b** is connected to (e.g., and receiving from) a limited power device such as a mobile phone. The operating criteria may also reflect that the circuit **220b** is currently handling high priority type of traffic (e.g., such as an image sent from a burglar camera sensor), and therefore the controller **230** adjusts (e.g., suppresses) the transmission operating parameter of the circuit **220a** so that circuit **220a** does not interfere with circuit **220b**'s reception.

In additional or alternative embodiments, other transmission operating parameters that can be adjusted by the coexistence controller **230** can include a data rate (e.g., 11 Mbit/s, or 54 Mbit/s) and/or a network protocol (e.g., IEEE 802.11a, IEEE 802.11n, IEEE 802.11b, IEEE 802.11ac, IEEE 802.11ah, etc.) at which the respective network circuit operates. In some examples, the transmission operating parameters can also include a channel (e.g., channel 1, channel 6, or channel 11 in WLAN 2.4 GHz frequency band) on which the respective network circuit operates. As additional examples, the channels available in WLAN 5 GHz frequency band can include channel 36, channel 100, or channel 161. In some embodiments, the transmission operating parameters can also include a frequency band (e.g., 2.4 GHz, 5 GHz, etc.) in which the respective network circuit operates. Other known configuration adjustments such as modulation or phase adjustments can also be included in the list of transmission operating parameters which the coexistence controller **230** can adjust. In some embodiments, proper RF filtering can be applied to reduce the effect of interference. In some of these embodiments, when RF filtering is applied, proper channel selection may be done by software part of the coexistence mechanism to better utilize the RF filtering.

The coexistence bus **240** may be used by the coexistence controller **230** to schedule or coordinate transmission and reception to avoid reception desensitization. The coexistence bus **240** can be implemented in forms of a serial bus, several dedicated buses, or other suitable forms such as a network. Specifically, depending on the embodiment, the coexistence mechanism may be software only, hardware only, or a combination of both. Examples of hardware-based coexistence mechanisms may include a hardware bus, a modified radio frequency (RF) frontend, and/or other suitable implementations. Examples of software-based coexistence mechanisms may be located at different layers of networking including, for example, the PHY layer, the MAC layer, and/or the IP layer.

In some specific implementations, the coexistence bus **240** together with the coexistence controller **230** can employ coexistence mechanisms similar to the IEEE 802.15.2 Wireless LAN (WLAN)-Bluetooth (which are heterogeneous radios (e.g., operating) coexistence mechanisms for implementing/coordinating coexistence of homogeneous radios (e.g., WLAN-WLAN) operating at the same or similar band; however, it is noted that the standard IEEE 802.15.2 coexistence mechanism is specific to WLAN-Bluetooth coexistence applications, and that suitable modifications (e.g., such as those described herein) may be necessary for homogeneous radios application. FIG. 3 is a functional block diagram illustrating certain implementation details of the specific embodiment of the coexistence controller of FIG.

2A employing a modified IEEE 802.15.2 coexistence mechanism in accordance with some embodiments.

Depending on the embodiments, either the collaborative or the non-collaborative mechanisms (as specified in IEEE 802.15.2 standards) or both may be adapted for use with the coexistence controller **230**. As illustrated in FIG. 3, the collaborative coexistence mechanism of IEEE 802.15.2 is modified (e.g., which can be implemented by the coexistence controller **230**, FIG. 2A) to perform packet traffic arbitration for coexistence of homogeneous radios (e.g., WLAN-WLAN) applications. It is noted that more details of the Packet Traffic Arbitration (PTA) mechanisms can be found in Clause 6 of the 802.15.2 Recommended Practices.

Of course, a person having ordinary skill in the art will know that other standard or non-standard coexistence mechanisms (e.g., which may be developed for heterogeneous radio coexistence such as WLAN, Bluetooth, and LTE) may also be modified and applied to homogeneous radio coexistence (e.g., WLAN to WLAN) in similar fashion as disclosed herein.

FIG. 4A and FIG. 4B are abstract diagrams using layered model **400** for illustrating an example hierarchical relationships between the coexistence controller **230** and other components in the base station **210** of FIG. 2A. The model **400** generally follows the naming conventions of the well-known open systems interconnection (OSI) model, as standardized in ISO/IEC 7498-1 by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO). For purposes of discussion herein, the media access (MAC) layer sits between the network layer (layer 3 of OSI model) and physical (PHY) layer (layer 1) and is a sub-layer of the data link layer (layer 2) which provides addressing, channel access control, as well as other suitable functionalities. It is noted that model **400** is provided herein to enable further understanding of the present embodiments; and that other models (e.g., TCP/IP model) can be used and/or modified for implementing the present embodiments.

As illustrated in FIGS. 4A-4B, in accordance with one or more embodiments, the coexistence controller **230** (FIG. 2A) can function as an additional layer (labeled as MAC2) on top of the MAC layer of an existing wireless network circuit so that radio circuit that are readily designed and available on the current market can be adopted (e.g., into the base station **210**, FIG. 2A) as modules in order to increase reusability and save redesign cost. Notably, FIG. 4A illustrates a model that employs a coexistence bus to coordinate the radios at MAC layer; in comparison, the model illustrated in FIG. 4B does not employ the coexistence bus, but it utilizes RF filters to aid the coexistence mechanism located on the MAC2 layer. In some embodiments, the MAC2 layer may include link aggregation mechanisms to aggregate the links at the lower layer (e.g., the two MAC-layer links as shown in FIG. 4B).

The coexistence bus **240** (FIG. 2A) can function as a coordination mechanism coupled to the MAC layer of the network circuits. The bus **240** can be employed by the coexistence controller **230** to communicate and control each of the network circuits **220a-220c**. Accordingly, the network circuits can each include individual media access control (MAC) layer and physical (PHY) layer circuitry, such as MAC and PHY layers **420** illustrated in FIG. 4. In other words, in some embodiments of the base station **210**, there can be separate and independent MAC engines for the network circuits **220a-220c** (FIG. 2A) with coexistence bus **230** and a MAC2 layer above (e.g., where coexistence controller **240** resides) for management. In some embodiments, either the MAC or the MAC2 layer or the two

together can perform aggregation, encryption, decryption, and/or other timing critical tasks. In some embodiments, the coexistence controller **230** can manage network data traffic from a select number of network circuits **220a-220c** so as to aggregate a bandwidth of the selected number of network circuits (which is discussed in more details below). Examples of aggregation can include Aggregation of MAC protocol data units (AMPDU) and Aggregation of MAC service data units (AMSDU). Example of encryption can include the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES), Wired Equivalent Privacy (WEP), Temporal Key Integrity Protocol (TKIP), and the like.

With continued reference to FIG. 2A, in some embodiments, the operation controls (e.g., operating parameter adjustments) by the coexistence controller **230** are independently performed to each of the network circuits **220a-220c**, so that the operating parameters of each circuit (e.g., circuit **220a**) can be fine-tuned by the coexistence controller **230** individually and without necessarily affecting other network circuits coupled to the same coexistence bus **240**.

In combination of the above, one or more embodiments of the coexistence controller **230** can implement techniques that reduce interference, such as reducing transmission power, changing the channel, or disabling transmission of selected network circuits based on workload, type of traffic, priority of the network circuits and their connected clients (e.g., by whether they are power consumption sensitive), type of the data traffic, channel noise observed by the radio antennas, or other suitable factors that are experienced by the network circuits **220a-220c**. In some implementations, the operations between the network circuits **220a-220c** are controlled by the coexistence controller **230** in a way such that the network circuits **220a-220c** can transmit and receive data at or near the same time. For example, the wireless network circuit **220a** can be transmitting on channel 6 of 2.4 GHz frequency band at an attenuated power level while the wireless network circuits **220b** and **220c** can be respectively receiving on channel 1 and 11 of 2.4 GHz frequency band. In some embodiments, and in particular those embodiments that equip radios operating in the 5 GHz frequency band, proper filtering can also be adapted by the coexistence mechanisms. Some embodiments of the coexistence mechanism can adapt RF filtering or other frontend techniques to aid the coexistence mechanisms in reducing interference and discretization from one radio to other.

The configuration of base station **210** shown in FIG. 2A and layered model **410** shown in FIG. 4 are merely examples. Base station **210** may include any suitable numbers of network circuits **220a-220c**, more than one coexistence controller **230**, and/or additional processing units coupled to the coexistence bus **240** to perform coordination/control operations. Furthermore, the coexistence controller **230** may be integrated into other suitable kinds of computing devices including, for example, accelerated processing units (APUs), field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs), digital signal processors (DSPs), or other devices with microprocessors.

Alignment of Packets

FIG. 5A is a timing diagram **500** illustrating an example of synchronized operations of multiple radio circuits as coordinated by the coexistence controller in accordance with some embodiments, and FIG. 6 is a timing diagram **600** illustrating an example of unsynchronized operations of multiple radio circuits as coordinated by the coexistence controller in accordance with some embodiments. The coexistence mechanisms, which can be employed by the coexistence controller **230** (e.g., in concert with the coexistence

11

bus 240) of FIG. 2A for implementing various homogeneous radio coexistence functionalities disclosed herein, are discussed in fuller detail below and with continued reference to FIG. 2A.

As previously mentioned, according to some embodiments, a combination of a coexistence bus (e.g., bus 240) connecting the lower MAC layers with a managing coexistence controller (e.g., controller 230) in MAC2 layer (and for some embodiments, in higher layers) may be deployed to implement the present disclosed embodiments. Depending on the implementation, one radio circuit (e.g., circuit 220a) or a group of radio circuits may be given the highest priority and act as master, or all radio circuits (e.g., circuit 220a-220c) can have similar priorities. Additionally or alternatively, priorities of the radios can be changed dynamically (e.g., depending on workload and other operating criteria) or can be changed over time (e.g., using time sharing mechanisms, round robin, or other suitable multi-access protocols). Depending on the embodiment, the coexistence mechanisms can involve only the MAC2 layer, only the upper MAC layer, or a suitable combination of both.

Although one benefit of the present embodiments is to avoid reception desensitization, other operating criteria (e.g., type of traffic, or fairness) may be taken into consideration by the coexistence controller 230 as well. In one example, the coexistence mechanism (e.g., as implemented by the coexistence controller 230 and the coexistence bus 240) can assure that all wireless network circuits receive at least a chance to transmit; other operating criteria can include fairness among all the radios for transmission, or the operating criteria can include a starvation policy. In some embodiments, quality of service (QoS) can be taken into account when the coexistence mechanism determines which radio circuits gets to transmit and when. In some embodiments, even when during the period when a radio circuit is suppressed or disabled by the coexistence mechanism, certain short transmission of acknowledge (ACK) packets can be allowed; this technique can be helpful in some cases where channel coding is used.

Also, it is noted that in some mechanisms the transmission suppression or suspension may not be required due to other suitable software and hardware techniques used.

As such, according to some embodiments, the coexistence mechanism can synchronize the transmitting and receiving operations to increase or maximize the total wireless network's throughput (TPUT) or bandwidth. Such synchronized operations are illustrated in diagram 500. In diagram 500, all transmitting operations and receiving operations are synchronized among all wireless network circuits 220a-220c so that the circuits 220a-220c only perform either transmission or reception at any given moment of time. This technique can avoid the desensitization caused by different homogeneous radios transmitting and receiving at the same time, such as illustrated in table 202 of FIG. 2B. It is noted that AMPDU, AMSDU, or a combination of both may be used in downlink data packets. For the example that is shown in diagram 500, the downlink (DL) data packets are illustrated as comprising DL AMPDU and Single MPDU. Optionally, Request to Send (RTS) and Clear to Send (CTS) handshake packets can be exchanged between the sender (e.g., client devices 130, FIG. 1) and the base station 210 before the data transmission/receiving operations. In addition or as an alternative to increasing throughput, the coexistence mechanism may be used to coordinate the radios to achieve better delay requirement or other quality of service (QoS) metrics for one or more of the radios.

12

More specifically, as shown in FIG. 5A, the coexistence mechanism can align the downlink packet transmissions (or receiving operations) of a number of selected wireless network (e.g., WLAN) circuits operating on different channels in the same frequency band for synchronized operations. In some embodiments, the packets received by different radio circuits need to have the same duration in the downlink, and in such embodiments, frame padding may be performed by the coexistence controller 230 to make data packets (e.g., received on the downlink) among the radio circuits 220a-220c become the same size.

FIGS. 5B-5D further illustrate additional details of the synchronized operations of FIG. 5A, including some examples of the padding techniques which can be utilized by the coexistence mechanism, in accordance with some embodiments.

Continuing with the example of FIG. 5A where the AMPDU is used in downlink (DL) data packets, diagram 502 of FIG. 5B depicts a typical structure of the AMPDU subframe. In accordance with one or more embodiments, each AMPDU subframe can include an MPDU delimiter, which can optionally be followed by an MPDU. Additionally, zero length delimiter can also be used. Although not shown in FIG. 5B for simplicity, the "length" field of the MPDU delimiter can include different number of bits based on the type of IEEE 802.11 packet or other suitable requirements as the network technology being used so requires.

In the example shown in diagram 504 of FIG. 5C, one or more zero length delimiters are added to make AMPDUs of different homogeneous radio circuits (e.g., IEEE 802.11n versus IEEE 802.11ac, as illustrated in FIG. 5C) the same size in order to align the packets. It is noted that, in diagram 504, the delimiters are added at the end of the frames; however, other suitable locations may be used. For example, in diagram 506 of FIG. 5D, the zero length delimiters are shown to be used in the middle of the packets.

Further, the coexistence mechanism can also transmit the uplink response packets simultaneously or nearly simultaneously (e.g., within an error margin as defined by the IEEE 802.11 specification), such as shown in the diagram 500 of FIG. 5A. Some embodiments of the coexistence mechanism can also employ different modulations and/or can include different number of bytes in a PHY or MAC payload in order to transmit and/or receive different packets on different channels.

As an additional or alternative embodiment to synchronized transmitting and receiving operations, the coexistence mechanism can employ unsynchronized operations as well. In unsynchronized operations, transmitting or receiving operations on different network circuits (and preferably each on different channels) can be prioritized by the coexistence controller 230 using the aforementioned operating criteria (e.g., based on the nature of the data traffic, fairness, starvation avoidance policies (which may be based on different hierarchies of payment plans, for example), and so forth) in manners discussed above. For example, as shown in diagram 600 of FIG. 6, radio circuit 2's transmission is delayed or deferred because radio circuit 1 is already receiving (e.g., because radio circuit 1 has higher priority). Notably in the example diagram 600, radio 1 can still transmit the ACK packets during radio 3's receiving operation. This may be because the coexistence controller 230 determines that the interference caused by the transmission of ACK packets may be tolerated (e.g., because the transmission is short, because the transmission can be performed successfully at an attenuated power level, or because other suitable reasons

13

such as those discussed above), or simply because radio 1 has a higher priority than radio 3.

FIG. 7A is a functional diagram 700 illustrating an additional mode in which the coexistence controller 210 (FIG. 2A) can operate, in accordance with some embodiments. As illustrated in diagram 700, the coexistence controller 210 (e.g., as implemented in a base station such as station 110, FIG. 1) can coordinate with a client (e.g., client devices 130a-130n) with another plurality of wireless network circuits in a way such that a respective network circuit on the network device communicates with a corresponding network circuit on the client using a uniquely designated channel.

For example, if a Wireless LAN access point is equipped with 3 wireless network circuits, and if a client device is also equipped with 3 wireless network circuits, then the coexistence controller 230 can coordinate (e.g., using suitable standard or customized protocols) with the client device so that each radio circuit on the access point can communicate with a corresponding radio circuit on the client device on a channel different than the others such that interference can be reduced while increasing the bandwidth. In other words, a first wireless network circuit on the access point can use channel A exchange data with a first wireless network circuit on the client device, a second wireless network circuit on the access point can use channel B exchange data with a second wireless network circuit on the client device, and so forth.

It is noted that the client may also have to implement the coexistence mechanisms disclosed herein in order to perform this kind of channel coordination/bandwidth aggregation/interference reduction techniques with the base station.

Furthermore, in some examples where a client device with multiple radios and implementing the coexistence mechanisms disclosed herein is connected to the base station 210, the coexistence controller 230 can reorder the received frames from the various connected client devices and deliver them in sequence to the higher layer (e.g., the IP layer), in addition to functionalities already mentioned above. In some embodiments, the coexistence controller 230 can be coupled to a reorder buffer (not shown for simplicity) for performing the reordering tasks.

Also, since the embodiments of the coexistence controller 230 can control multiple Wireless LAN radio circuits separately, in some implementations, a select number of radio circuits may be operated to act as access points (APs) while another selected number of radio circuits may be operated to act as clients. This technique may be useful for range extension or other suitable purposes.

Optionally, the coexistence controller 230 can implement WiFi Direct, Peer-to-Peer, or any other IEEE 802.11 or WiFi optional features using one or more of the radio circuits equipped on the base station 210.

FIGS. 7B-7D are functional diagrams illustrating some specific example scenarios in which the WLAN access point and WLAN station of FIG. 7A may be operated. In FIGS. 7B-7D, the WLAN station connected to the WLAN AP may itself function as an AP for other wireless stations.

Channel Steering

In addition or as an alternative to the aforementioned functionalities, some embodiments of the coexistence controller 230 can dynamically determine (e.g., during the base station 210's normal operations and based on operating criteria) and distribute the connected client devices 130 onto different wireless network circuits 220a-220c and/or different channels. For purposes of discussion in this section, it is assumed that each of the radio circuits operates on a different channel in the same frequency band; however, different

14

combinations of switching network circuits and/or channels can be implemented or executed by the coexistence mechanism disclosed herein.

More specifically, the coexistence mechanisms (e.g., as implemented by the coexistence controller 230 and the coexistence bus 240) can associate the client stations to the base station 210 onto different channels. Because the base station 230 includes a plurality of wireless network circuits each capable of operating on a different channel, in one or more implementation, the multi-channel base station 210 can employ the coexistence controller 230 to steer client devices onto different channels based on operating criteria (e.g., such as those mentioned above). In other words, the coexistence controller 230 can decide which client station is to be connected to which network circuit (and its associated channel) based on the operating criteria.

In addition or as an alternative to those aforementioned operating criteria, some examples of the operating criteria can include: load distribution and balancing among the channels, any throughput requirement, any QoS requirement (e.g., delay, jitter, packet error rate, throughput specification, etc.), any interference from other radios in each channel, any interference from other Wireless LAN or other radios (e.g., operated by other nearby base stations or clients with overlapping basic service set identifications (BSSID)), any interference from non-WLAN-related devices (e.g., microwave oven), and so forth.

In some embodiments, the coexistence controller 230 is situated on top of MAC layer (e.g., as the MAC2 layer such as illustrated in FIGS. 4A and 4B) for management of the radio circuits, which each may include their own MAC and PHY circuitry. It is noted that other layers in the network stack (e.g., other layers in the OSI model) can also be used for management of the multiple wireless network (e.g., WLAN) circuits.

According to one or more embodiments, the coexistence controller 230 can also enforce the selection by making sure the client device cannot associate itself on any other unauthorized channels. In some addition embodiments, the coexistence controller 230 can function in a way such that the communication interface to an upper layer (e.g., the network layer or IP layer in the OSI model) remains the same. It is noted that, after a client device is associated to the base station 210, the coexistence controller 230 may need to pass the data packets designated for a client device to the MAC layer of a corresponding radio circuit that services the channel with which the client device is associated or assigned.

Moreover, after a client device is connected to a network circuit and associated with a channel, the coexistence controller 230 can move the client device from one channel to another channel when such move becomes desirable. For example, if the data traffic workload aggregated from all client devices connected to one network circuit exceeds or about to exceed the service capacity of the network circuit, then the coexistence controller 230 can selectively move some of the connected clients to another wireless network circuit. For another example, if the interference on a channel (e.g., as observed by the network circuit operating on the channel) increases to level that exceeds a maximum threshold (e.g., so that current connected client devices may not be serviced properly), then the coexistence controller 230 can selectively move some of the connected clients to another wireless network circuit. For some embodiments, if the channel condition on another channel becomes better than the current channel (e.g., because removal of an interference source), then the coexistence controller 230 can selectively

move some of the connected clients to another wireless network circuit. In some embodiments, typical channel switch announcement may be used to move the client devices from one channel to another channel. Specifically, in some embodiments, channel switch announcement or other methods in IEEE 802.11h may be used to move a station from one channel to another channel. It is noted that techniques similar to those described in IEEE 802.11h can be adapted by some embodiments of the present disclosure to resolve interference issues introduced by the use of IEEE 802.11a/n/ac in some locations, and in particular for military, weather radar systems or other suitable devices such as medical devices.

In addition, in some other embodiments, the AP may disassociate or de-authenticate selected clients on a channel without using a channel switch announcement. The reason for not using a channel switch announcement may be, for example, lack of support on the client side. In some other examples, the AP may decide not to use a channel switch announcement due to lack of time because the AP may need to move some clients away from a certain channel as soon as possible.

Also, some embodiments of the base station **210** can keep/migrate all the state information (e.g., network settings, hardware configuration information, etc.) for the client device when the client device is switched from one channel to another to minimize the switch time.

FIG. **8** is a diagram **800** illustrating a probe request procedure on a preferred channel which can be implemented by the coexistence controller **230** in accordance with some embodiments, and FIG. **9** is a diagram **900** illustrating a probe request procedure on a non-preferred channel which can be implemented by the coexistence controller **230** in accordance with some embodiments.

With continued reference to FIG. **2A**, some specific examples for implementing the channel steering techniques are now discussed. In these examples where the wireless network circuits are IEEE 802.11 WLAN circuits, the following management frames are some examples among the frames that can be used by the coexistence controller **230** for the channel steering techniques: Probe Request, Probe Response, Authentication, De-authentication, Association Request, Association Response, Re-association Request, Re-association Response, and Disassociation. The following examples are described using IEEE 802.11 terminologies; however, it is noted that these examples are provided herein to provide a better understanding of the coexistence mechanisms, and that neither these IEEE 802.11 management frames nor IEEE 802.11 WLAN circuits are necessary in practicing the present embodiments.

As mentioned, the coexistence mechanism disclosed herein can steer a connecting client to a specific channel/network circuit at time of association, and can also steer a client to another specific channel/network circuit after the device is already connected.

Accordingly, in some embodiments, when a client device tries to connect with the base station **210**, the coexistence mechanism may choose not to respond to a probe request, authentication request, or association request from the client device on a non-preferred channel. More specifically, assuming channel B is a preferred channel, and channel A is a non-preferred channel, when the client device sends a probe request to the base station **210** on a non-preferred channel A, the coexistence controller **230** can make a determination so as to ignore the probe request on the non-preferred channel A. On the contrary, when the client device sends a probe request on a preferred channel B, the

coexistence controller **230** can respond to that probe request so that the client device can connect to the base station **230** on the preferred channel B. Additionally, the coexistence controller **230** can choose to cause the base station **210** not to broadcast the service set identification (SSID) on a channel that is already at its maximum capacity so as to avoid a client device from requesting association to a non-preferred channel. It is noted that similar mechanisms depicted in FIGS. **8-9** can be applied to Authentication Request, Association Request, or other forms of known pre-association or post-association requests.

Additionally or alternatively, it is recognized in the present disclosure that a client device may still attempt to associate with the wireless base station **210** on the non-preferred channel instead of switching to other channels even when the client device receives no response. As such, some embodiments of the wireless base station **210** may select a maximum number of requests to ignore; for example, the base station **210** can ignore the first M association requests on the non-preferred channel, but if the client device continues and try for the (M+1)th time on the same non-preferred channel for association, then the base station **210** can associate the client device on the non-preferred channel in order to avoid a complete denial of service to that client device. In this particular example, the coexistence controller **230** may choose to then move the client device from the associated non-preferred channel to a preferred one after the connection.

An example association procedure in which the client device associates with the base station **210** via a wireless signal (e.g., such as a Probe Request) on a preferred communication channel is depicted in diagram **800**. During normal operations, the client device may initiate a Probe Request at time **t0** on a preferred channel of the base station **210**. Then, the Probe Request is received by the base station **210** at time **t1**.

After receiving Probe Request at time **t1**, the base station **210** determines whether the Probe Request is received on a preferred channel. In the illustrated example of diagram **800**, because the Probe Request is received on the preferred communication channel of the base station **210** (e.g., as determined by the coexistence controller **230**), the base station **210** transmits a responsive signal (e.g., a Probe Response) on the preferred communication channel at time **t2**. The Probe Response on the preferred channel is received by the client device at time **t3**. Thereafter, the Wireless LAN circuit located on the base station **210** become a candidate to the client device for association and available for data communication.

An example association procedure in which the client device associates with the base station **210** via a wireless signal (e.g., such as a Probe Request) on a non-preferred communication channel is depicted in diagram **900**. During normal operations, the client device may transmit a Probe Request on a non-preferred communication channel of the base station **210** at time **t0**. The base station **210** receives the Probe Request on the non-preferred communication channel from the client device at **t1**.

Then, according to some embodiments, the base station **210** can choose to ignore the Probe Request, thereby triggering the client device to transmit another Probe Request on another communication channel which may be the base station **210**'s preferred channel. It is noted that, while many Wireless LAN clients available on the current market may try to sense probe requests on another channel when they do not hear probe requests on one channel, some embodiments of the client device disclosed herein may also implement the

17

coexistence mechanisms so that the client device would switch the channel on which it sends the Probe Request based on prior information. In some embodiments, the base station **210** may also choose to use suitable communication methods to notify the client device of the base station **210**'s currently preferred channel(s).

In the example illustrated in diagram **900**, the client device switches the channel twice and transmits a Probe Request on the preferred communication channel of the base station **210** at time **t4**. This Probe Request is received by the base station **210** at time **t5**. In response, at time **t6**, the base station **210** transmits a Probe Response on the preferred communication channel to the client device, and the Probe Response is received by the client device at time **t7**. Thereafter, the Wireless LAN circuit located on the base station **210** become a candidate to the client device for association and available for data communication.

Moreover, when a communication channel to which the client device is already connected becomes a non-preferred channel, the coexistence controller **230** can steer a client to another specific channel/network circuit. More specifically, in some examples, the base station **210** can send a De-authentication message at a proper time (e.g., when time critical traffic exists or is anticipated), and when the client device tries to re-authenticate, the base station **210** does not respond to the client device's Probe Requests to access the base station **210**. In this way, the client device can be triggered to attempt to re-authenticate on another channel which may be the base station **210**'s preferred channel.

In additional or alternative embodiments, the coexistence controller can also move the client device from a non-preferred channel to a preferred channel using one or more suitable communication protocols (e.g., channel switching procedures as specified in the IEEE 802.11h and/or the IEEE 802.11v directed roaming protocol). Also, in some embodiments, the current connection between the client device and the base station **210** can be maintained (e.g., without termination), and the client device can be moved to the preferred channel when the next time it connects to the base station **210**.

The following are some examples of how the coexistence controller **230** may group the client devices. In all examples, the base station **210** is equipped with three wireless network circuits, one operating on channel A, another one operating on channel B, and the third one operating on channel C. Also, all of the wireless network circuits are operating at the same frequency band in these examples.

In one example, channel C has the best condition, and channel B has the worst condition. As such, because channel B experiences a lot of noise, the coexistence controller **230** can choose to move all client devices that are without delay or performance requirements to channel B. Channel C is the best channel, and the coexistence controller **230** can move those traffic types with the most stringent performance requirement (e.g., VoIP or Video Conference applications) to channel C. Depending on the channel A's condition, channel A can maintain a few devices with stringent performance requirement as well.

In another example, the coexistence controller **230** can group the client devices based on their traffic types (e.g., such as VoIP, Video-on-Demand, or other applications which only request best effort).

In yet another example, if all channels have similar capacities and similar conditions, then the coexistence controller **230** can combine and mix client devices with different type of traffic onto each channel for load balancing.

18

In an additional example, the coexistence controller **230** can group the client devices based on their respective power requirements so that those client devices which are limited in power resource (e.g., running on batteries) can transmit at a different power level (e.g., at a lower data rate) than those devices which are unlimited in power resource (e.g., plugged into an electrical outlet).

In other examples, the coexistence controller **230** can group the client devices based on their similarity in capabilities. For example, devices with multi-user multiple-input and multiple-output (MU-MIMO) capabilities can be grouped together on a channel different than the other devices.

Home Wireless Sensor Application

FIG. **10** is an abstract functional block diagram **1000** illustrating a wireless base station **1010** equipped with a coexistence controller **1030** implemented in an environment with a plurality of wireless sensors **1070a-1070n** in accordance with some embodiments. The base station **1010** includes wireless network circuits **1020a** and **1020b**, both coupled to a coexistence controller **1030** via a coexistence bus **1040**. In some embodiments, the wireless circuits **1020a** and **1020b** may be different discrete components, or they may be integrated into one or more chipsets.

A residential gateway **1050** is coupled to the base station **1010** to provide data communication services (e.g., to the Internet) to the base station **1010** and its clients (e.g., sensors **1070**). The gateway **1050** may be coupled to the base station **1010** via, for example, a wireless network circuit **1060** of the gateway **1050**. For purposes of discussion herein, assume the network circuit **1060** is connected to the base station **1010** via the network circuit **1020a**. Examples of the residential gateway **1050** may include a cable modem, a digital subscriber line (DSL) modem, a satellite modem, and the like. Although not shown for simplicity, the gateway **1050** can also be coupled to data traffic networks based on wireless telephony services (e.g., such as 3G, 3.5G, 4G LTE, and the like) in providing data services to the base station **1010**.

The wireless sensor **1070a-1070n** are sensors that are typically placed in a residential or an office environment. The sensors **1070a-1070n** include wireless network capabilities for coupling to and communicating with the base station **1010**. For purposes of discussion herein, assume the wireless sensors **1070a-1070n** are connected to the base station **1010** via the network circuit **1020b**. Some examples of the wireless sensor **1070a-1070n** include door sensors, motion sensors, surveillance cameras, fire/smoke detectors, Carbon monoxide (CO) detectors, garage door openers, thermostats, cable television control boxes, gas meters, and so forth. Although not necessarily, one or more sensors of the sensors **1070a-1070n** may typically have limited power resources (e.g., running only on batteries).

The base station **1010** is similar to the base station **210** of FIG. **2A**, and in this specific settings, can be a base station designated for the wireless sensors including, for example, a home security console device such as provided by Comcast Corp., ADT Corp, or AT&T Corp. As illustrated in diagram **1000**, the base station **1010** includes at least two wireless network circuits **1020a** and **1020b**, circuit **1020a** being coupled to the gateway **1050** and circuit **1020b** being coupled to the wireless sensors **1070a-1070n**. In one or more embodiments, the base station **1010** functions as a repeater which can pass data traffic (e.g., control commands) received from the gateway **1050** to the wireless sensors **1070a-1070n**, and can pass data traffic (e.g., captured images, or alarm signals) received from the wireless sensors

1070a-1070n to the gateway **1050**. Among other reasons, because the power limitation and other characteristics specific to the wireless sensors **1070a-1070n**, it would be beneficial to separately connect the sensors **1070a-1070n** to a separate wireless network circuit than that is used to connect the residential gateway **1050**.

However, as previously mentioned, it is recognized that interference and desensitization can happen in settings where more than one wireless network circuits transmitting and receiving in the same frequency band and in close physical proximity. Specifically, when the base station **1010** is transmitting data to the wireless sensors **1070a-1070n** using circuit **1020b**, which can cause the reception of circuit **1020a** to become desensitized, if the gateway **1050** attempts to communicate with the base station **1010** during the circuit **1020b**'s transmission, the base station **1010** can miss the data sent from the gateway **1050**, and therefore the gateway **1050** may have to retransmit. Luckily, the gateway **1050** typically does not have power resource concerns.

Similar situation can take place when the base station **1010** is transmitting data to the gateway **1050** using circuit **1020a**, which can cause the reception of circuit **1020b** to become desensitized, and if the gateway **1050** attempts to communicate with the base station **1010** during the circuit **1020a**'s transmission, the base station **1010** can miss the data sent from the wireless sensors **1070a-1070n**, and therefore the wireless sensors **1070a-1070n** may have to retransmit. However, this may be undesirable because the wireless sensors **1070a-1070n** may have power resource concerns, and retransmission can adversely impact the operating lifespan of the sensors **1070a-1070n**.

Existing solutions can include using sectional antennas and creating enough shielding among the radio circuits. However, because the wireless sensors can be deployed in any location around the physical environment, it is desirable to have omnidirectional antennas so that the wireless communication coverage of the base station can be maximized.

Accordingly, in some embodiments, the coexistence controller **1030** can cause the wireless circuits **1020a** and **1020b** to operate in ways that do not cause interference or desensitization to one another. More specifically, the coexistence controller **1030** can utilize the coexistence mechanisms mentioned above, for example, to give priority to those data communications from the various wireless sensors **1070a-1070n** by selectively suppressing the transmitting operations of the wireless network circuit **1020a** during the receiving operations of the wireless network circuit **1020b**. For example, the coexistence controller **1030** can suppress the transmission communication by disabling, postponing, attenuating the power level used in, reducing transmission rate of, or applying any other operating parameter adjustment techniques discussed herein onto, the transmission operations. In this way, the coexistence controller **1010** is able to suppress the transmitting operations of the network circuit **1020a** in a way that maintains integrity of receiving operations of the network circuits **1020b** (e.g., so that the receiving is not disrupted or corrupted). It is noted that some embodiments of the wireless network circuits **1020a-1020b** operate on different channels (e.g., channels 1 and 6).

In some additional embodiments, the coexistence controller **1030** is configured to allow the network circuit being suppressed, during the suppression, to respond to high priority communication after every predetermined time period. For example, the Wireless LAN circuit being suppressed may still be able to respond to management packets.

In some embodiments, the coexistence controller **1030** can also operate the wireless network circuit **1020b** in a way

that reduces power consumption of the wireless sensors **1070a-1070n**. For example, while the operations of the wireless network circuit **1020a** remains unaffected (e.g., which can be optimized for speed performance, or other considerations), the operations of the wireless network circuit **1020b** can utilize various parameters and options that are available in the IEEE 802.11 standards to save power on the sensors **1070a-1070n**.

Specifically, there are two example power saving techniques existing in the IEEE 802.11 family of standards which can be adapted by the coexistence controller **1030** for reducing the power consumption on the wireless sensors **1070a-1070n**. One example is known as the power saving poll (PS-Poll); the other example is known as the unscheduled automatic power save delivery (UAPSD).

In other embodiments, the coexistence controller **1030** can also utilize customized protocols or modified versions of standard protocols to communicate with the wireless sensors **1070a-1070n** in order to assist the sensors **1070a-1070n** in reducing power consumption. For example, the coexistence controller **1030** can cause wireless network circuit **1020b** to operate on a modified version of wireless network protocol that has relaxed link upkeep standards, such as relaxing an ACK packet's response time from 1 millisecond to 2 seconds.

In addition examples, the wireless network circuit(s) (e.g., circuit **1020b**) that connects to the wireless sensors **1070a-1070n** can employ power saving mechanisms such as described in the IEEE 802.11ah standards in various frequency bands (e.g., 2.4 GHz, 5 GHz, or other bands). One or more embodiments can support client devices or sensors that can transmit traffic indication maps (TIM), and the coexistence controller **1030** can arrange traffic scheduling and give priority to a respective wireless circuit based on the received TIM information.

Further, for the client devices or wireless sensors that do not have TIM capacity, when the base station **1010** schedules or reserves a target wake time (TWT) for non-TIM-capable clients, the coexistence controller **1030** can also protect the scheduled TWT of the non-TIM-capable clients from being preempted by TIM-capable clients. In particular, for implementing this technique, the coexistence controller **1030** can indicate to TIM-capable clients a restricted access window (RAW) information, during which no TIM-capable clients can occupy the wireless network circuit. In some embodiments, the RAW information are included in an RPS element in the beacons sent from the base station **1030**. In some embodiments, if the RPS element indicates that the RAW is allocated only to non-TIM-capable clients, then any TIM-capable client that checks the beacon should not access the wireless network circuit for the duration indicated by the "RAW Duration" field in the RAW information within the RPS element. In another example, if the scheduled TWTs for non-TIM-capable clients are periodic, then the base station **1030** may set up a periodic RAW operation, such as defined in Clause 9.19.4a.6 of the IEEE 802.11 standards.

In some embodiments, the coexistence controller **1030** can adopt known time division multiplexing (TDM) techniques in managing the wireless network circuits **1020a-1020b**, and in some embodiments, certain time durations can be assigned to certain types of data traffic.

FIG. 11 is a timing diagram **1100** for handling downlink traffic from the base station **1010** to the wireless sensors **1070a-1070n** of FIG. 10 in accordance with some embodiments. As shown in diagram **1100**, once the base station **1010** knows that a wireless sensor (e.g., sensor **1070a**) wakes up, the coexistence mechanism in the base station

21

1010 can give priority to the downlink traffic to the wireless sensor 1070a. The sleep schedule of the wireless sensor 1070a can be transmitting or broadcasted using one or more suitable methods including, for example, utilizing beacons to transmit the traffic indication map (TIM) as described in the IEEE 802.11 standards. As such, in some embodiments, the coexistence controller 1030 can determine a reservation schedule in selectively suppressing the transmitting operations based on status signals received from one or more wireless sensors.

FIG. 12 is a timing diagram 1200 for handling uplink traffic from the wireless sensors 1070a-1070n to the base station 1010 of FIG. 10 in accordance with some embodiments. Similar to diagram 1100, once the base station 1010 knows that a wireless sensor (e.g., sensor 1070a) starts to transmit data, the coexistence mechanism in the base station 1010 can give priority to the uplink traffic from the wireless sensor 1070a.

FIG. 13 is an abstract diagram 1300 illustrating an asymmetrical buffering structure or mechanism which can be adopted or controlled by the coexistence controller in accordance with some embodiments. More specifically, among other reasons, because the suppression of the wireless network circuits, and because some wireless network circuits have higher priority than the others, one or more buffers can be included in the base station 1010 (FIG. 10) and coupled to the wireless network circuits 1020a-1020b for temporarily storing data. In some of these embodiments, the coexistence controller 1030 (FIG. 10) can be configured to allocate more resource in the buffers to the network circuit with higher priority (e.g., circuit 1020b). Such example is illustrated in diagram 1300. In some embodiments, the coexistence controller 1030 can also adjust a buffer rate of the buffers based on workload of the network circuits 1020a-1020b.

In some embodiments, the coexistence controller 1030 can also coordinate among the wireless sensors 1070a-1070n so as to cause the wireless sensors 1070a-1070n not to interfere with one another's data transmission. In some embodiments, the wireless sensors 1070a-1070n may communicate to the coexistence controller 1030 regarding their respective battery or other power status, and the coexistence controller 1030 can prioritize communication among one or more wireless sensors 1070a-1070n based on their respective power supply status. For example, the coexistence controller 1030 can selectively send acknowledgment (ACK) packets to those wireless sensors among sensors 1070a-1070n which are low on power so as to avoid them retransmit the data.

Methodology

FIG. 14 is a flowchart illustrating a method 1400 for controlling and coordinating multiple radio circuits which can be implemented by a coexistence controller (e.g., controller 230, FIG. 2) in accordance with some embodiments. The method 1400 is performed, for example, in a base station (e.g., station 210, FIG. 2).

In one or more embodiments, each of a plurality of network circuits (e.g., circuits 220a-220c) located on the station 210 can be assigned (1410) a priority. In some embodiments, the coexistence controller 230 is coupled to the network circuits 220a-220c through a coexistence bus (e.g., bus 240, FIG. 2) to control operations between (or among) the network circuits 220a-220c. More specifically, the priority each network circuit can be predetermined by the manufacturer of the base station 210, or the priority can be optionally and/or dynamically assigned (1410) by the coexistence controller 230 based on certain priority assign-

22

ing criteria. The priority assigning criteria may include the amount of traffic, the type of traffic (e.g., data, voice, video, sensor applications, etc.), wireless channel conditions each circuit experiences, and/or other suitable factors. As explained above, the priority assigning criteria may be similar to operating criteria.

Then, the coexistence controller 230 can determine (1420) a plurality of operating criteria based on the priority assigned to each network circuit as well as other factors. The operating criteria can reflect a variety of considerations such as the number of client devices (e.g., devices 130, FIG. 1) each network circuit handles, the amount of data traffic each network circuit sees, the data rate each network circuit supports, the type of traffic each network circuit is assigned, the wireless channel condition or noise (e.g., as measured by RSSI or a known matrix rank) each network circuit experiences, and so forth. According to the present embodiments, the operating criteria are selected to cause the coexistence controller to control the operations in a way that reduces a probability that network circuits 220a-220c desensitize one another. In some embodiments, the operating criteria are selected in a way such that the coexistence controller 230 can perform, for example, load balancing and/or frequency planning using multiple wireless network circuits (e.g., circuits 220a-220c) on base station 210.

Next, the coexistence controller 230 can control (1430) operations between the network circuits by selectively adjust one or more transmission operating parameters of a respective network circuit (e.g., circuit 220a) based on a plurality of operating criteria including network circuit 220a's priority as compared to other circuits' priorities.

The transmission operating parameters for a wireless network circuit is the configurations in which the network circuit uses to transmit data. For example, in some embodiments, the coexistence controller 230 can reduce the transmit power on one wireless network circuit (e.g., circuit 220a) when another wireless network circuit (e.g., circuit 220b) is receiving. In additional or alternative embodiments, other transmission operating parameters that can be adjusted by the coexistence controller 230 can include a data rate (e.g., 11 Mbit/s, or 54 Mbit/s) and/or a network protocol (e.g., IEEE 802.11a, IEEE 802.11n, etc.) at which the respective network circuit operates. In some examples, the transmission operating parameters can also include a channel (e.g., channel 1, channel 6, or channel 11 in WLAN 2.4 GHz frequency band; or channel 36, channel 100, or channel 161 in WLAN 5 GHz frequency band) on which the respective network circuit operates. In some embodiments, the transmission operating parameters can also include a frequency band (e.g., 2.4 GHz, 5 GHz, etc.) in which the respective network circuit operates. Other known configuration adjustments such as modulation or phase adjustments can also be included in the list of transmission operating parameters which the coexistence controller 230 can adjust.

FIG. 15 is a flowchart illustrating a method 1500 for reducing interference among multiple radio circuits which can be implemented by a coexistence controller (e.g., controller 1030, FIG. 10) in accordance with some embodiments. The method 1500 is performed, for example, in a base station (e.g., station 1010, FIG. 10).

First, in some optional embodiments, the controller 1030 can support client devices or sensors that can transmit traffic indication maps (TIM), and the coexistence controller 1030 can arrange or determine (1510) traffic scheduling and give priority to a respective wireless circuit based on the received TIM information. For the client devices or wireless sensors that do not have TIM capacity, when the base station 1010

23

schedules or reserves a target wake time (TWT) for non-TIM-capable clients, the coexistence controller 1030 can also protect the scheduled TWT of the non-TIM-capable clients from being preempted by TIM-capable clients. In particular, for implementing this technique, the coexistence controller 1030 can indicate to TIM-capable clients a restricted access window (RAW) information, during which no TIM-capable clients can occupy the wireless network circuit.

In accordance with some embodiments, the coexistence controller 1030 can cause the wireless circuits (e.g., 1020a and 1020b) coupled to the station 1030 to operate in ways that do not cause interference or desensitization to one another. More specifically, the coexistence controller 1030 can utilize the coexistence mechanisms (e.g., as mentioned above) to give priority to those data communications from the various wireless sensors 1070a-1070n by selectively suppressing (1520) the transmitting operations of the wireless network circuit 1020a during the receiving operations of the wireless network circuit 1020b, when the network circuit 1020b is assigned (1524) a higher priority than the network circuit 1020a. The plurality of network circuits operate (1522) in a same radio frequency band and are collocated (1522).

For example, the coexistence controller 1030 can suppress the transmission communication by disabling, postponing, attenuating the power level used in, reducing transmission rate of, or applying any other operating parameter adjustment techniques discussed herein onto, the transmission operations. In this way, the coexistence controller 1010 is able to suppress (1520) the transmitting operations of the network circuit 1020a in a way that maintains integrity of receiving operations of the network circuits 1020b (e.g., so that the receiving is not disrupted or corrupted). It is noted that some embodiments of the wireless network circuits 1020a-1020b operate on different channels (e.g., channels 1 and 6).

CONCLUSION

In the foregoing specification, the present embodiments have been described with reference to specific exemplary embodiments thereof. It will, however, be evident that various modifications and changes may be made thereto without departing from the broader scope of the disclosure as set forth in the appended claims. The specification and drawings are, accordingly, to be regarded in an illustrative sense rather than a restrictive sense.

It should also be understood that all block diagrams in the figures are for illustration purpose only, and should not preclude the scope of this invention to include any logic equivalents or combinations thereof, including removing, substituting, or adding other logic gates that achieves the same or similar functions consistent with the features of the present invention.

Further, it should be noted that the various circuits disclosed herein may be described using computer aided design tools and expressed (or represented), as data and/or instructions embodied in various computer-readable media, in terms of their behavioral, register transfer, logic component, transistor, layout geometries, and/or other characteristics. Formats of files and other objects in which such circuit expressions may be implemented include, but are not limited to, formats supporting behavioral languages such as C, Verilog, and VHDL, formats supporting register level description languages like RTL, and formats supporting geometry description languages such as GDSII, GDSIII,

24

GDSIV, CIF, MEBES and any other suitable formats and languages. Computer-readable media in which such formatted data and/or instructions may be embodied include, but are not limited to, non-volatile storage media in various forms (e.g., optical, magnetic or semiconductor storage media).

What is claimed is:

1. A network device, comprising:

a first and a second wireless network circuits, each of the network circuits operating in a same radio frequency band, wherein the second network circuit is assigned a higher priority than the first network circuit;

a coexistence controller coupled to the network circuits via a communication bus and configured to selectively suppress transmitting operations of the first network circuit during receiving operations of the second network circuit; and

one or more buffers coupled to the first and second network circuits for storing data,

wherein the coexistence controller is further configured to adjust a buffer rate of the buffers based on workload of the network circuits,

wherein the coexistence controller is further configured to prioritize communication among one or more wireless sensors, to which the second network circuit is configured to couple, based on respective power supply status of the wireless sensors.

2. The network device of claim 1, wherein the coexistence controller is to suppress the transmitting operations of the first network circuit in a way that reduces interference or desensitization to receiving operations of the second network circuits so that the receiving operations are not disrupted or corrupted.

3. The network device of claim 1, wherein the coexistence controller is further configured to adjust resource allocation of the buffers between the first and the second network circuits during the suppression.

4. The network device of claim 1, wherein the coexistence controller is further configured to determine, for selectively suppressing the transmitting operations, a reservation schedule based on status signals received from one or more wireless sensors to which the second network circuit is configured to couple.

5. The network device of claim 1, wherein the coexistence controller is further configured to communicate with one or more wireless sensors, to which the second network circuit is configured to couple, so as to cause the wireless sensors not to interfere with one another's data transmission.

6. The network device of claim 1, wherein the coexistence controller is further configured to selectively allow the first network circuit, during the suppression, to respond to high priority communication after every predetermined time period.

7. The network device of claim 1, wherein the coexistence controller suppresses the transmitting operations by disabling the first network circuit.

8. The network device of claim 1, wherein the coexistence controller suppresses the transmitting operations by attenuating the transmitting power of the first network circuit.

9. The network device of claim 1, wherein the coexistence controller suppresses the transmitting operations by reducing a transmission rate of the first network circuit.

10. The network device of claim 1, wherein the second network circuit operates a modified version of wireless network protocol that has relaxed link upkeep standards, including an increased acknowledgement (ACK) packet response time.

25

11. The network device of claim 1, wherein the first and second network circuits each include individual media access control (MAC) layer and physical (PHY) layer circuitry.

12. The network device of claim 1, wherein the network circuits are collocated on the network device.

13. The network device of claim 1, wherein the second circuit is configured to be coupled to one or more wireless sensors that are battery-powered.

14. A method for reducing interference for a plurality of wireless network circuits, the method comprising:

using a coexistence controller, coupled to the plurality of network circuits, to selectively suppress transmitting operations of a first network circuit during receiving operations of a second network circuit,

wherein the plurality of network circuits operate in a same radio frequency band and are collocated, wherein the second network circuit is assigned a higher priority than the first network circuit, and

wherein one or more buffers are coupled to the first and second network circuits for storing data; adjusting a buffer rate of the buffers based on workload of the network circuits; and

prioritizing communication among one or more wireless sensors, to which the second network circuit is configured to couple, based on respective power supply status of the wireless sensors.

15. The method of claim 14, further comprising:

suppressing the transmitting operations of the first network circuit in a way that reduces interference or desensitization to receiving operations of the second network circuits so that the receiving operations are not disrupted or corrupted.

16. The method of claim 14, further comprising:

adjusting resource allocation of the buffers between the first and the second network circuits during the suppression.

17. The method of claim 14, further comprising:

determining, for the selectively suppressing, a reservation schedule based on status signals received from one or more wireless sensors to which the second network circuit is configured to couple.

18. The method of claim 14, further comprising:

communicating with one or more wireless sensors, to which the second network circuit is configured to couple, so as to cause the wireless sensors not to interfere with one another's data transmission.

19. The method of claim 14, further comprising:

selectively allowing the first network circuit, during the suppression, to respond to high priority communication after every predetermined time period.

20. The method of claim 14, wherein the suppression comprises one or more of: (i) disabling the first network circuit; (ii) attenuating the transmitting power of the first network circuit; or (iii) reducing a transmission rate of the first network circuit.

21. The method of claim 14, wherein the second network circuit is to operate a modified version of wireless network protocol that has relaxed link upkeep standards, including an increased acknowledgement (ACK) packet response time.

22. A network device, comprising:

a first and a second wireless network circuits, each of the network circuits operating in a same radio frequency band, wherein the second network circuit is assigned a higher priority than the first network circuit;

a coexistence controller coupled to the network circuits via a communication bus and configured to selectively

26

suppress transmitting operations of the first network circuit during receiving operations of the second network circuit; and

one or more buffers coupled to the first and second network circuits for storing data,

wherein the coexistence controller is further configured to adjust a buffer rate of the buffers based on workload of the network circuits, and

wherein the second network circuit is to operate a modified version of wireless network protocol that has relaxed link upkeep standards, including an increased acknowledgement (ACK) packet response time.

23. The network device of claim 22, wherein the coexistence controller is to suppress the transmitting operations of the first network circuit in a way that reduces interference or desensitization to receiving operations of the second network circuits so that the receiving operations are not disrupted or corrupted.

24. The network device of claim 22, wherein the coexistence controller is further configured to adjust resource allocation of the buffers between the first and the second network circuits during the suppression.

25. The network device of claim 22, wherein the coexistence controller is further configured to determine, for selectively suppressing the transmitting operations, a reservation schedule based on status signals received from one or more wireless sensors to which the second network circuit is configured to couple.

26. The network device of claim 22, wherein the coexistence controller is further configured to communicate with one or more wireless sensors, to which the second network circuit is configured to couple, so as to cause the wireless sensors not to interfere with one another's data transmission.

27. The network device of claim 22, wherein the coexistence controller is further configured to prioritize communication among one or more wireless sensors, to which the second network circuit is configured to couple, based on respective power supply status of the wireless sensors.

28. The network device of claim 22, wherein the coexistence controller is further configured to selectively allow the first network circuit, during the suppression, to respond to high priority communication after every predetermined time period.

29. The network device of claim 22, wherein the coexistence controller suppresses the transmitting operations by disabling the first network circuit.

30. The network device of claim 22, wherein the coexistence controller suppresses the transmitting operations by attenuating the transmitting power of the first network circuit.

31. The network device of claim 22, wherein the coexistence controller suppresses the transmitting operations by reducing a transmission rate of the first network circuit.

32. The network device of claim 22, wherein the first and second network circuits each include individual media access control (MAC) layer and physical (PHY) layer circuitry.

33. The network device of claim 22, wherein the network circuits are collocated on the network device.

34. The network device of claim 22, wherein the second circuit is configured to be coupled to one or more wireless sensors that are battery-powered.

35. A method for reducing interference for a plurality of wireless network circuits, the method comprising:

using a coexistence controller, coupled to the plurality of network circuits, to selectively suppress transmitting

27

operations of a first network circuit during receiving operations of a second network circuit, wherein the plurality of network circuits operate in a same radio frequency band and are collocated, wherein the second network circuit is assigned a higher priority than the first network circuit, wherein the second network circuit is to operate a modified version of wireless network protocol that has relaxed link upkeep standards, including an increased acknowledgement (ACK) packet response time, and wherein one or more buffers are coupled to the first and second network circuits for storing data; and adjusting a buffer rate of the buffers based on workload of the network circuits.

36. The method of claim 35, further comprising: suppressing the transmitting operations of the first network circuit in a way that reduces interference or desensitization to receiving operations of the second network circuits so that the receiving operations are not disrupted or corrupted.

37. The method of claim 35, further comprising: adjusting resource allocation of the buffers between the first and the second network circuits during the suppression.

28

38. The method of claim 35, further comprising: determining, for the selectively suppressing, a reservation schedule based on status signals received from one or more wireless sensors to which the second network circuit is configured to couple.

39. The method of claim 35, further comprising: communicating with one or more wireless sensors, to which the second network circuit is configured to couple, so as to cause the wireless sensors not to interfere with one another's data transmission.

40. The method of claim 35, further comprising: prioritizing communication among one or more wireless sensors, to which the second network circuit is configured to couple, based on respective power supply status of the wireless sensors.

41. The method of claim 35, further comprising: selectively allowing the first network circuit, during the suppression, to respond to high priority communication after every predetermined time period.

42. The method of claim 35, wherein the suppression comprises one or more of: (i) disabling the first network circuit; (ii) attenuating the transmitting power of the first network circuit; or (iii) reducing a transmission rate of the first network circuit.

* * * * *